

# EXHIBIT O

1 KEKER & VAN NEST LLP  
ROBERT A. VAN NEST - # 84065  
2 rvannest@kvn.com  
CHRISTA M. ANDERSON - # 184325  
3 canderson@kvn.com  
DANIEL PURCELL - # 191424  
4 dpurcell@kvn.com  
633 Battery Street  
5 San Francisco, CA 94111-1809  
Telephone: (415) 391-5400  
6 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188

7 KING & SPALDING LLP  
BRUCE W. BABER (pro hac vice)  
8 bbaber@kslaw.com  
1185 Avenue of the Americas  
9 New York, NY 10036  
Tel: (212) 556-2100  
10 Fax: (212) 556-2222

11 Attorneys for Defendant  
GOOGLE INC.

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
14 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

15 ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,  
16 Plaintiffs,  
17 v.  
18 GOOGLE INC.,  
19 Defendant.

Case No. CV 10-03561 WHA

**DEFENDANT GOOGLE INC.'S  
RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO  
PLAINTIFF ORACLE AMERICA, INC.'S  
REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION**

**SET THREE**

scope of this case.

**REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 281:**

Admit that GOOGLE intends to use some or all of ANDROID, including DECLARING CODE and SSO from the 37 JAVA API PACKAGES, to create a platform that runs on desktops and laptops.

**RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 281:**

In addition to its General Objections, Google objects to this Request for Admission as vague, ambiguous, overly broad, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence as a result of its use of the defined phrases “Google,” “intends to use,” “some or all of ANDROID, including DECLARING CODE and SSO from the 37 JAVA API PACKAGES,” and “platform that runs on desktops and laptops.” Google objects to this Request for Admission as overly broad, unduly burdensome, and not proportional to the needs of the case to the extent it seeks information not related to any party’s claims or defenses or any relevant subject matter at issue, and that go beyond the permissible scope of this retrial. Google further objects to this Request for Admission to the extent that it seeks information not within Google’s possession, custody or control.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections and the General Objections, and to the extent that this request is understood, Google denies this Request for Admission.

**REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 282:**

Admit that in 2009, GOOGLE believed that if it was slow to develop software for MOBILE DEVICES, GOOGLE would fail to capture a significant share of the increasingly important mobile market for search services and such failure would adversely affect GOOGLE’S business.

**RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 282:**

In addition to its General Objections, Google objects to this Request for Admission as vague, ambiguous, overly broad, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of

1 to the extent it seeks information not related to any party's claims or defenses or any relevant  
 2 subject matter at issue, and that go beyond the permissible scope of this retrial. Google also  
 3 objects to this Request for Admission as unreasonably cumulative or duplicative to the extent that  
 4 it seeks information that is more conveniently or less expensively obtained from another source or  
 5 that is publicly available. Google also objects to this Request as improperly compound. Google  
 6 objects to this Request for Admission to the extent it purports to include software created,  
 7 modified, and/or distributed by third parties and not Google. Google further objects to this  
 8 Request for Admission to the extent that it seeks information not within Google's possession,  
 9 custody or control.

10 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections and the General Objections, and  
 11 to the extent that this request is understood, Google denies this Request for Admission.

12 Dated: December 4, 2015

KEKER & VAN NEST LLP

14 By: /s/ Robert A. Van Nest

15 ROBERT A. VAN NEST  
 16 CHRISTA M. ANDERSON  
 DANIEL PURCELL

17 Attorneys for Defendant  
 18 GOOGLE INC.